



Earthquake Hazard and Risk Assessment of Bangladesh

AT UPAZILA LEVEL



GLOBAL EARTHQUAKE MODEL FOUNDATION

31 JANUARY 2024





GEM

Time	Speaker	Торіс
11:00–11:05	Chair, MoDMR	Welcome and introduction of new members
11:05–11:25	Prof. Mehedi Ahmed Ansary, BUET	(i) Insights about earthquake vulnerability in Bangladesh following the Rana Plaza collapse, and (ii) Status of earthquake risk mitigation in Bangladesh
11:25–11:45	Asst. Prof. Uttama Barua, BUET; UNSW Sydney	Earthquake risk-sensitive land-use planning at the local level in Bangladesh
	Anirudh Rao, GEM	Brief recap of sessions 1-3
	Catalina Yepes-Estrada, GEM	Results from the scenario risk assessment
11:45–12:30	Anirudh Rao, GEM	Results from the probabilistic seismic risk assessment at upazila level
	Lana Todorović, GEM	Earthquake-induced liquefaction assessment: scenario and probabilistic analysis
12:30-12:45	All panel members	Open discussion, Q&A
12:45–12:55	UNDRR+GEM	Tentative dates and agenda for in-person workshop
12:55-13:00	Chair, MoDMR	Closing remarks



Our Methodology

We collect and process data worldwide, related to the main components of risk

Currently GEM has fully functional global model components to assess earthquake impact worldwide







Vulnerability

The expected damage to an event



GLOBAL

OUAKE

MODEL

Seismic Risk

HAZARD

The likelihood, probability, or chance of a potentially destructive phenomenon.

HAZARD

Exposure

The location, attributes, and values of assets that are important to communities.

27.7000° N, 85.3333° E

material: cinder block

VULNERABILITY

The likelihood that assets will be damaged or destroyed when exposed to a hazard event.

VULNERABILITY

GLOBAL QUAKE MODEL



Risk occurs when there is a spatial and temporal overlap of these three elements

EXPOSURE

Source: gfdrr.org/sites/gfdrr/files/publication/opendri fg web 20140629b 0.pdf



Seismic Hazard

PSHA for the country

GLOBAL

QUAKE MODEL

Seismic Hazard Assessment



Active fault map of Bangladesh

Morino et al. (2014). A paleo-seismological study of the Dauki fault at Jaflong, Sylhet, Bangladesh: Historical seismic events and an attempted rupture segmentation model. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences, 91, 218–226.

Subduction plate boundaries

Source: Michael Steckler / Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory

SUN

PLATE

- Identification of active faults
- Historical earthquakes

11

- Seismic source model
 - Soil characterization using secondary data
- Ground motion model
- Probabilistic seismic hazard assessment

Seismic Hazard Assessment





Figure 6.2.24 Seismic zoning map of Bangladesh

GLOBAL QUAKE MODEL





Exposure

Buildings Population Infrastructure

Global Earthquake Model

Exposure Modelling

It is necessary to identify the physical characteristics of the built environment, to classify each exposed element according to its seismic fragility and vulnerability



Some of the main attributes that need to be identified are: location, construction material, structural system, height, and code compliance

GLOBAL QUAKE MODEL





Exposure Modelling





Buildings

- Residential
- Commercial
- Industrial
- Healthcare
- Education
- Infrastructure
 - Roads
- Railways
- Population

Attributes

10

- Location
- Typology
- Valuation
- Age

GLOBAL QUAKE MODEL .ORG



Residential Buildings

Railway Lines

BAL



Earthquake Scenarios

Historical and hypothetical events

Scenario Ruptures

12 Scenario Ruptures

Based on historical and likely potential events

Faults from existing GEM research in region, or (for Madhupur, etc.) mapped for this project based on publications and topography





GLOBAL QUAKE MODEL

Scenario Calculator



GLOBAL QUAKE MODEL

Scenario Calculator



1885 Manikganj Mw7.2 earthquake



 Very damaging earthquake, widespread destruction in Dhaka 15

- Placed on the Madhupur fault
- Assigned Mw 7.2 based on area-magnitude scaling relationships
- Martin and Szeliga assigned M 7.1

GLOBAI

Ground Motion Models: Active (left) vs. Stable (right) ?





GEM 1885 ManikganjMw7.2 earthquake

Active shallow crust (*Stable continental crust?*)

- ChiouYoungs2014
- AbrahamsonEtAl2014
- Modifications for Al-Atik and Youngs (2014) epistemic uncertainty factors for reverse faulting



17

GLOBAL QUAKE MODEL







Scenario Damage Calculator





Seismic Vulnerability Analysis



Seismic Intensity





Percentage Distribution of Main Dwelling Structure by Materials of Wall and by Year



EARTHQUAKE SCENARIO IMPACT

21

Earthquake scenario	Magnitude Mw	Collapsed buildings	Economic losses (USD Million)	↑ [∞] Fatalities	population	Displaced population
1869_cachar	7.3	3,919	516	2,440	10,087	368,829
sikkim_mht	8.5	11,797	1,082	5,119	20,384	722,949
chittagong_thrust_smaller	7.25	33,750	5,039	17,978	75,808	2,750,990
1822	7.1	38,237	Repulle 3,803	16,620	69,471	2,469,500
1918_Srimangal	7.4	40,673	4,481	20,793	83,612	2,950,110
1762_arakan	8.5	44,942	5,295	25,277	94,867	3,149,590
1885_manikganj	7.2	68,568	13,009	36,725	159,097	5,732,280
1897_Dauki	8.7	112,151	9,570	50,112	193,221	6,668,340
1664_N_Bang	7.7	117,069	13,072	53,969	214,315	7,501,590
western_deformation_front_partial	7.7	118,547	18,874	64,118	274,348	9,526,090
chittagong_north	8.2	121,569	13,483	61,667	249,080	8,455,880
western_deformation_front	8.5	378,213	43,064	184,541	737,858	24,396,100

EARTHQUAKE SCENARIO IMPACT

22

Earthquake scenario	Magnitude Mw	Collapsed buildings	Economic losses (USD Million)	∱ [∞] Fatalities	• Injured • population	Displaced population
1869_cachar	7.3	3,919	516	2,440	10,087	368,829
sikkim_mht	8.5	11,797	1,082	5,119	20,384	722,949
chittagong_thrust_smaller	7.25	33,750	5,039	17,978	75,808	2,750,990
1822	7.1	38,237	Repuille 3,803	16,620	69,471	2,469,500
1918_Srimangal	7.4	40,673	4,481	20,793	83,612	2,950,110
1762_arakan	8.5	44,942	5,295	25,277	94,867	3,149,590
1885_manikganj	7.2	68,568	13,009	36,725	159,097	5,732,280
1897_Dauki	8.7	112,151	9,570	50,112	193,221	6,668,340
1664_N_Bang	7.7	117,069	13,072	53,969	214,315	7,501,590
western_deformation_front_partial	7.7	118,547	18,874	64,118	274,348	9,526,090
chittagong_north	8.2	121,569	13,483	61,667	249,080	8,455,880
western_deformation_front	8.5	378,213	43,064	184,541	737,858	24,396,100

GEM 1885 ManikganjMw7.2 earthquake

Mean Fatalities

Time of the event

- night
- day
- Transit

Fatalities at night: 25k - 52k people ~ 37k people



GEM 1885 Manikganj Mw7.2 earthquake

1885 Manikganj (Bengal) Mw 7.2 earthquake				
Upazila	Ť°	Fatalities		
Darussalam		2,144		
Shahbag		1,836		
Kapasia		1,747		
Sabujbag		1,661		
Cantonment		1,587		
Dohar		1,485		
Rupnagar		1,354		
Keraniganj		995		
Sreepur		857		
Badda		845		
Fulbaria		812		
Demra		802		
Savar		686		
Shyampur		656		
Hazaribag		643		

GLOBAL

QUAKE

MODEL

Fatalities at night: 25k - 52k people

~ 37k people





ARUNACHAL PRADESH

24

CHIN

GLOBAL

OUAKE

MODEL

1885 Manikganj Mw7.2 earthquake

Population of Bangladesh from 1800 to 2020



https://www.statista.com/statistics/1066829/population-bangladesh-historical/

Supplementary notes

Data from UN used for period between 1950-2020. Data from Gapminder used for period between 1800-1949.

The sources do not give their methodology, but appear to use estimates from extended periods of time to calculate gradual annual figures, therefore the impact of major events (such as the Bengal Famine or Liberation War) may appear smaller when represented on the graph.

Mw7.2 earthquake

Mean Collapsed Buildings:

43k - 101k units ~ 69k units



26



GEM 1885 ManikganjMw7.2 earthquake

Mean Economic losses

1885 Manikganj (Bengal) Mw 7.2 earthquake					
Upazila		conomic losses (USD Million)			
Darussalam		1,107,360,748			
Shahbag		1,023,889,355			
Sabujbag		966,311,281			
Cantonment		871,900, <mark>055</mark>			
Rupnagar		659,250,899			
Keraniganj		554,794,262			
Dohar		550,718,471			
Demra		477,073,991			
Badda		463,702,866			
Shyampur		382,684,207			
Savar		323,123,384			
Hazaribag		313,021,438			
Kapasia		268,332,416			
Tongi Pashchim		187,756,761			
Narayanganj Sadar		169,709,673			

GLOBAL

QUAKE

MODEL



27

GEM

1885 Manikganj (Bengal)

Mw 7.2 earthquake

Upazila	A [⊗]	Collapsed buildings	E	Economic losses (USD Million)	Ť°	Fatalities	e,	Injured population	•Ř	Displaced population
Darussalam		1,937		1,107,360,748		2,144		9,480		340,444
Shahbag		1,602		1,023,889,355		1,836		8,155		301,341
Kapasia		<mark>4,94</mark> 9		268,332,416		1,7 <mark>4</mark> 7		6 <mark>,</mark> 814		250,910
Sabujbag		1,532		966,311,281		s 1,661		7,512		280,633
Cantonment		1,227		871,900,055	SUIL	1,587		6 <mark>,882</mark>		257,915
Dohar		5,979		550,713,471		1,485		8,860		328,284
Rupnagar		1,294		, (139,250,899		1,354		6,117		221,731
Keraniganj		862	Y	554,794,262		995		4,510		173,095
Sreepur		2,385		151,729,440		857		3,386		122,846
Badda		703		463,702,866		845		3,765		143,824
Fulbaria		2,129		101,299,282		812		2,802		95,841
Demra		659		477,073,991		802		3,601		140,721
Savar		566		323,123,384		686		2,997		108,449
Shyampur		567		382,684,207		656		2,984		115,659
Hazaribag		598		313,021,438		643		2,927		108,143



GEM

GLOBAL QUAKE MODEL .ORG

1885 Manikganj (Bengal)

Mw 7.2 earthquake

29

Zila	Collapsed buildings	Economic losses (USD Million)	∱ [∞] Fatalities	Injured Dipopulation	Displaced
Dhaka	18,296	8,154,090,350	15,606	71,857	2,672,033
Gazipur	27% 1,361	1, 63% 367,756	43% 4,539	45% ^{18,828}	47 [°] 64,443
Mymensingh	9,905	673,807,792	3,975	15,137	524,123
Tangail	4,965	311,607,763	1,815	7,043	223,081
Bogura	3,463	286,152,308	1,500	5,674	204,411
Narsingdi	1,748	160,079,192	688	2,898	106,137
Sirajganj	1,444	112,030,350	581	2,304	79,434
Narayanganj	1,412	343,261,531	723	3,822	137,894
Manikganj	1,148	103,347,268	477	2,097	61,024
Naogaon	1,101	106,325,585	588	2,204	79,944
Jamalpur	1,068	69,566,585	387	1,640	53,683
Sherpur	954	52,660,196	329	1,252	42,960
Natore	747	62,725,401	300	1,235	45,691
Pabna	667	77,493,311	252	1,159	42,016
Jhenaidah	643	65,083,643	232	1,046	39,928



Probabilistic Risk

Event-based risk assessment

GLOBAL

QUAKE

MODEL

Event-Based PSHA Calculator



31

GEM

TREQ

USAID

GLOBAL

QUAKE

MODEL

Event-based PSHA Calculator







Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Map for Bangladesh

GEM

GLOBAL QUAKE

MODEL

Probabilistic Event-Based Risk Calculator



33

Division Level Seismic Risk Maps



AVERAGE ANNUAL LOSSES



AVERAGE ANNUAL LOSS RATIOS



BANGLADESH

SOCIAL INDICATORS

18.9B to 19.4B

19.4B to 39.1B

69.8B to 167.9B

01	Population 164.7M	~7	Population Growth 1.05%/year
î	GDP 250.0B USD		GDP per Capita 1,517 USD
ź	GINI Index 32.1		Human Development Index 0.800

RISK INDICATORS

	Replacement cost	Avg. annual loss	Avg. annual loss ratio
	(Billion USD)	(Thousand USD)	(‰)
Residential	358.0	516,815	1.444
Commercial	27.5	36,888	1.340
Industrial	15.9	5,522	0.348



GEM has published division-level maps of seismic hazard, exposure, and risk for Bangladesh

34

The spatial resolution has been improved to upazila level in this project



GLOBAL

QUAKE MODEL



Average Annual Economic Losses at Upazila Level







Average Annual Building Collapses and Fatalities





GLOBAL QUAKE MODEL



Probabilistic Risk Metrics at Upazila Level







Probabilistic	Average Annual					
Upazila Level	Building	Economic	Persons	Lives		
Seismic Risk	Conapses	Losses	Displaced	Lost		
<u>s</u>		ŀ	•7	ſ		
Sabujbag, Dhaka	53	\$ 15,472,279	1,180	4.0		
Shahbag, Dhaka	53	\$ 15,214,659	1,194	3.9		
Canton nent, Dhaka	45	\$ 14,859,005	1,162	3.6		
Lalishahar, Chattogram	197	\$ 13,639,906	1,784	9.0		
Darussalam, Dhaka	45	\$ 12,458,379	994	3.2		
Demra, Dhaka	35	<mark>\$ 10,315</mark> ,012	864	2.6		
Panchlaish, Chattogram	99	\$ 9,771,652	211	2.2		
Keraniganj, Dhaka	32	<mark>\$ 8,99</mark> 8,072	775	2.3		
Badda, Dhaka	26	\$ 7,707,311	643	1.9		
Kapasia, Gazipur	358	\$ 7,238,105	2,600	9.5		
Shyampur, Dhaka	22	\$ 6,382,309	530	1.6		
Rupnagar, Dhaka	25	\$ 6,319,348	554	1.7		
Dohar, Dhaka	113	\$ 6,295,368	905	2.0		



GLOBAL QUAKE

MODEL

Probabilistic Risk Metrics at Zila Level







Probabilistic	Average Annual					
Zila Level	Building Collanses	Economic	Persons Displaced	Lives		
Seismic Risk		×				
Ś		÷	•R	ſ		
Dhaka, Dhaka	494	\$ 117,149,897	9,931	29.9		
Chattogram, Chattogram	1,340	\$ 65,919,225	16,44 <mark>0</mark>	71.3		
Sylhet, Sylnet	811	\$ 26,968,074	9,772	39.2		
Gazipur, Dhaka	573	\$ 18,677,629	4,401	16.5		
Moulvibazar, Sylhet	699	\$ 16,060,810	6,299	25.3		
Mymensingh, Mymensingh	505	\$ 15,976,302	4,005	16.8		
Cumilla, Chattogram	321	\$ 15,184,825	2,994	12.4		
Dinajpur, Rangpur	665	\$ 14,688,452	5,474	24.3		
Narayanganj, Dhaka	154	\$ 12,676,179	1,776	5.7		
Sunamganj, Sylhet	481	\$ 12,188,687	5,413	25.6		
Bogura, Rajshahi	354	\$ 11,371,980	3,012	12.5		
Habiganj, Sylhet	409	\$ 11,084,929	4,067	17.8		
Naogaon, Rajshahi	416	\$ 9,992,321	3,577	15.4		

GLOBAL QUAKE

MODEL

Probabilistic Risk Metrics at Division Level

200 %

RUNA HAL PRADESH

200 km



Probabilistic	Average Annual					
Division Level Seismic Risk	Building Collapses	Economic Losses	Persons Displaced	Lives Lost		
Dhaka	1,724	\$ 176,126,852	20,317	71.5		
Cattogram	2,771	\$ 125,885,321	30,310	131.0		
Sylhet	2,400	\$ 66,302,500	25,550	107.9		
Rangpur	1,613	\$ 50,271,803	12,964	59.6		
Rajshahi	1,376	\$ 42,640,948	11,775	48.8		
Mymensingh	727	\$ 25,888,796	5,657	25.8		
Khulna	569	\$ 20,199,942	4,603	16.7		
Barishal	126	\$ 10,233,051	1,158	5.7		
Bangladesh	11,306	\$ 517,549,212	112,334	467.1		

Tectonic setting of the Bay of Bengal



Cummins, P. The potential for giant tsunamigenic earthquakes in the northern Bay of Bengal. Nature 449, 75–78 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06088

GLOBAL QUAKE MODEL

GEM



Models for the 1762 Arakan earthquake and tsunami



Cummins, P. The potential for giant tsunamigenic earthquakes in the northern Bay of Bengal. Nature 449, 75–78 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06088

GLOBAL QUAKE MODEL

GEM



Tentative agenda for in-person workshops in Dhaka

Tentative dates: 3rd March – 7th March, 2024

Day 1: MoDMR, technical panel, and selected members from other key ministries **Goals**: Presentation of the project goals, components, and key findings to the government

Day 2: Broader stakeholder audience **Goals**: Presentation of the project components, datasets, methodologies, models, and results;

interwoven by presentations by key technical panel members

Day 3: Primarily academic audience – Dhaka University & BUET faculty and graduate students *Goals*: Hands-on working session with the datasets, models, and OpenQuake software

GLOBAL QUAKE MODEL

 Day 4: UN Humanitarian Advisory Group, UN Cluster Coordination Group, and UN Humanitarian Coordination Task Team
 Goals: High-level presentation of the project and key findings, discussions focussed on risk reduction, preparedness, and response

Day 5: Bilateral meetings and spillover discussions

Thank you!

Please attribute to the GEM Foundation with a link to: <u>https://www.globalquakemodel.org</u>



Except where otherwise noted, this work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>



